ODFW AQUATIC INVENTORY PROJECT

OREGON PLAN FOR SALMON & WATERSHEDS

STREAM RESTORATION HABITAT REPORT

STREAM:	Buck Creek (L-73)
BASIN:	Clatskanie River
SURVEY TYPE:	Post-Tx
DATE:	February 28, 2007
SURVEY CREW:	Jeff O-Leary, Sheri Etchemendy
REPORT PREPARED BY:	Paul Jacobsen
BASIN AREA:	3.3 km ²
USGS MAPS:	Delena
ECOREGION:	Coast Range Astoria Willapa

GENERAL DESCRIPTION:

The Buck Creek habitat survey extended 463 meters. The channel was alternately constrained by hillslopes and terraces in a broad valley floor. The average valley width index was 2.1 (range: 1.0-3.0). Land use for the reach was young (3-15 cm dbh) and second growth (15-30 cm dbh) trees. The average unit gradient was 2.5 percent. Beaver pools (39%) and riffles (39%) dominated stream habitat. Gravel (45%) and silt (35%) dominated stream substrate. Wood volume was low at 13.3 m³/100m.

COMMENTS:

There were no potential barriers to upstream fish migration in the surveyed length.

Stream Buck Creek (L-73)

Basin Clatskanie River

Treatment Large Wood

	ODFW Benchmark		Pre	Post	Post	
Habitat Variable	Desirable	Undesirable	2/7/01	2/11/02	2/28/07	
% Pool Area	>35%	<10%	32.1	61.5	45.7	
Number of Pools			16	14	10	
Deep Pools/km (>1.0 m)			1.6	4.8	0.0	
% Off-Channel			13.6	9.9	6.0	
LWD – Pieces/100m	>20	<10	18.2	24.4	16.0	
LWD – Volume/100m	>30	<20	16.2	17.8	13.3	
LWD – Key Pieces/100m	>3	<1	0	0	0	
Large Wood Jams/km			10.9	9.6		
% Riffle Fines	<10	>20	48	11	29	
% Riffle Gravel	>35	<15	46	43	54	
% Bedrock			5	31	10	

Bold is noticeable change

Comments: Pool area is higher than pre-treatment conditions, but has faded from the first posttreatment survey. The number of pools seem to have decreased with time, as have the number of deep pools, although this latter characteristic may be a symptom of flows rather than an actual change. Large wood pieces have decreased since treatment, as has wood volume, suggesting that wood is leaving the reach without being replenished from the riparian area or from upstream. Substrates appear variable but relatively unchanged. It appears that the treatment was initially effective but is less so with time.

OREGON DEPT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE

BUCK CREEK POST-TX (7-L, 73)

HABITAT INVENTORY	Report Date:	4/25/2007	Survey Date:	2/28/2007	
REACH 1	T06N-R03	3W-S15SE	REACH	1	
	Valley and Ch	annel Summary			
V	alley Characteristics (Percent Reach Length)			
Narrow Valley	/ Floor	Broad	d Valley Floor		
Steep V-shape	0%	Constraining	Terraces 0%		
Moderate V-shape	0%	Multiple Terra	aces 100%		
Open V-shape	0%	Wide Floodpl	lain 0%		
Valley W	idth Index 2.1	VWI Range: 1 - 3			
	Channel Morphology	(Percent Reach Length))		
Constraine	ed	Unc	constrained		
Hillslope	0%	Single Chan	inel 0%		
Bedrock	0%	Multiple Cha	annel 0%		
Terrace	0%	Braided Cha	annel 0%		
Alt. Terrace/Hill	100%				
Landuse	0%				
	Channe	el Characteristics			
Туре	Length (m)	Area (m2)	Dry Units		
Primary Channel	463	2,063	0		
Secondary Channel	93	131	0		
Off-Channel Units	0	0	0		
	Channel D	imensions (m)			
Wetted	Active	<u>Floodprone</u> $n = 5$	First Terrace	<i>n</i> = 3	
Width: 4.3 W	/idth: 5.9	10.2 (4.5 - 16)	12.9 (11.9 - 1	3.8)	
Depth: 0.33 H	leight: 0.4	0.8 (0.7 - 0.8)	1.0 (1-1)	
W:D ratio: 15.6		Entrenchment (ACW:F	FPW ratio): 1.8		
Stream Flow Type:	HF	Habitat Units/100m (to	otal channel length): 5	.9	
Average Unit Gradien	t: 2.5%	Habitat Units/100m (pi	rimary channel length): 7	.1	
Water temperature (°C	C): 4.0 - 4.0	ŭ	, ,		
	Riparian, Bank,	and Wood Summary			
	Primary	Seconda	ry		
Land Use:	ΥT	ST			
Riparian Vegetation:	M15	D15			
	Bank Condition	on and Shade			
Bank Status	Percent Reach	Length S	<u>hade (% of 180)</u>		
Actively Erodina:		R	each avg:		
Undercut Banks:		R	ange: -		
			-		

	Large Wood Deb	oris
	<u>Total</u>	Total / 100m primary channel
All pieces (>=3m x 0.15m):	74	16.0
Volume (m ³):	62	13.3
Key pieces (>=12m x 0.60m):	0	0.0

OREGON DEPT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE

BUCK CREEK POST-TX (7-L, 73)

Survey Date:

HABITAT INVENTORY

Report Date: 4/25/2007

2/28/2007

REACH 1					T06N·	-R03W-	S15SE				RI	EACH	1	
					HAB	ITAT DE	TAIL							
Habitat Type	Numb	er	Total	Avg	Avg	Total	Large				Substra	ate		
	Units		Length	Width	Depth	Area	Boulders			Perc	ent We	etted Ar	ea	
			(m)	(m)	(m)	(m ²)	(#>0.5m)) :	S/O	Snd	Grvl	Cbl	Bldr	Bdrk
CASCADE/BEDROC	ж	1	25	2.7	0.15	68	0		10	0	5	5	0	81
POOL-BEAVER DAM	Л	5	89	8.9	0.71	846	0		75	3	21	1	0	0
POOL-LATERAL SC	OUR	4	35	3.6	0.64	133	0		32	4	53	11	0	0
POOL-PLUNGE		1	8	3.0	0.95	24	0		35	0	35	15	5	10
RAPID/BOULDERS		5	99	2.6	0.25	255	0		20	5	68	6	0	1
RIFFLE		14	296	2.9	0.17	845	0		26	3	54	7	0	10
STEP/BEDROCK		1	2	4.0	0.07	8	0		5	0	0	0	0	95
STEP/LOG		2	2	9.5	0.09	15	0		73	5	20	3	0	0
Total:		33	556	4.3	0.33	2,193	0	Avg:	35	3	45	6	0	10

			HABITA	T SUMMAR	Y			
Habitat Group	Number	Total	Avg	Avg				
	Units	Length	Width	Depth	Wette	d Area	Large B	oulders
		(m)	(m)	(m)	(m ²)	Percent	Number	(# / 100m ²)
Dammed & BW Pools	5	89	8.9	0.71	846	38.55%	0	0.0
Scour Pools	5	43	3.5	0.70	157	7.17%	0	0.0
Glides	0	0			0	0.00%	0	0.0
Riffles	14	296	2.9	0.17	845	38.53%	0	0.0
Rapids	5	99	2.6	0.25	255	11.64%	0	0.0
Cascades	1	25	2.7	0.15	68	3.08%	0	0.0
Step/Falls	3	4	7.7	0.08	23	1.03%	0	0.0
Dry	0	0			0	0.00%	0	0.0
Culverts	0	0			0	0.00%	0	0.0

POOL SUMMARY

	<u>Total</u>	Total of all Channel Lengths <u># / Km</u>	Primary Channel Length <u># / Km</u>
All Pools:	10	18.0	21.6
Pools >=1m deep:	0	0.0	0.0
Complex pools (LWD pieces>=3):	5	9.0	10.8
Pool frequency (channel widths/pool):	9.5		
Residual pool depth (avg):	0.60		

Comment Summary Restoration Monitoring Sites 2007

MON	ITORING	AREA:	7-L	SITE ID: 73	BUCK CREEK POST-TX
UNIT#	TYPE	CHAN	DIST. (m)	COMMENTS	NOTE ESTIMATOR
1	BP	00	34.6	BV	
4	LP	00	63.3	BV, SS/	
12	BP	00	162.4	BV	
16	BP	00	210.7	BV	
18	BP	00	236.3	BV	
23	BP	00	300.5	BV	

